One possible solution for Problem 2 Special topics in theoretical physics – FK8024

Francesco Torsello

As already said by Ingemar during the first lecture, it is enough to open Wald's book [1] and see what he does, which is the following.

Electromagnetic waves in Minkowski space. Let's open [1] and go to page 64. Read one page and a half. Then you know that, in absence of external electromagnetic currents $(j^a = 0)$, we can consider an electromagnetic wave with constant amplitude in Minkowski spacetime, having the following form,

$$A_a = C_a \mathrm{e}^{i\phi}.\tag{1}$$

Note that Wald uses S for the phase of the wave, which I do not like, so I will use ϕ . C_a is a constant 1-form (covector), i.e. it is a 1-form with constant norm and always parallel to itself.

The Maxwell equations in the Lorentz gauge tell us that,

$$\partial_a \phi \, \partial^a \phi = 0, \tag{2}$$

and differentiating this we get,

$$(\partial^a \phi) \,\partial_a (\partial_b \phi) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Now, the wave vector field, by definition, is the vector field which is orthogonal to the surfaces of constant phase ϕ , therefore it is $k^a \coloneqq \eta^{ab} \partial_b \phi$. Equation (2) tells us that k^a is a null vector, and (3) tells us that k^a is a vector field tangent to a family of geodesics. Hence, if we follow k^a , we follow null geodesics.

Remainder 1. A geodesic $\gamma(\tau)$, by definition, is a curve whose tangent vector t^a is parallel to itself when transported along $\gamma(\tau)$ [1, eq. 3.3.1], i.e.

$$t^a \nabla_a t^b = 0$$
, or equivalently $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = 0.$ (4)

In our case, (3) is exactly (4) for k^a .

At this point, we define the *frequency* of the wave observed by an observer with 4-velocity u^a , as minus the rate of change of the phase of the wave, projected on u^a [1, eq. 4.2.38],

$$\omega \coloneqq -u^a \partial_a \phi = -u^a k_a. \tag{5}$$

The redshift factor. Our metric is

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + F(t-z)^{2}dx^{2} + G(t-z)^{2}dy^{2} + dz^{2},$$
(6)

with $F(t-z) = 1 + (t-z)\Theta(t-z)$ and $G(t-z) = 1 - (t-z)\Theta(t-z)$, and $\Theta(x)$ the Heaviside distribution. For $t \neq z$, this metric is nothing but the Minkowski metric, written in Rosen coordinates.

We have two electromagnetic waves traveling in the direction x and y. The procedure is the same for both waves, so we will make the explicit computations only for the one traveling in the x direction. Then, the wave vector point in the x direction.

Now we notice that, at the event of emission P_1 and at the event of detection P_2 of the wave, we are in Minkowski space, and therefore we have ten Killing vector fields (namely, the generators of the Poincaré group). One of them is $\xi^a = (\partial_x)^a$, the generator of translations along the x direction (which is also one of our basis vectors).

Remainder 2. As explained in [1, Appendix C], a Killing vector field ξ^a is defined as a vector field whose integral curve is a path along which the metric is *invariant*, i.e. the diffeomorphism induced by the Killing vector field is an isometry for the metric. In formulae,

$$\mathscr{L}_{\xi^c} g_{ab} = 2\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)} = 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\nabla_{(a}\xi_{b)} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{a}\xi_{b} + \nabla_{b}\xi_{a})$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\xi^{c}}$ is the Lie derivative along ξ^{c} .

When a Killing vector field is present (i.e., a symmetry is present), a quantity is conserved along the geodesic motion. If the tangent vector to the geodesic is t^a , then $t^a\xi_a = \text{const.}$ is conserved [1, Proposition C.3.1, p. 442]. In our case, this quantity reads $k_a\xi^a$. Let's keep this in mind for later.

We want to compute the redshift factor of the electromagnetic wave, so we need the frequency. We know that it is $\omega = -k_a u^a$, with u^a 4-velocity of the observer. Now we suppose that the observer (the detector) is at rest, so that $u^{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$.¹ Then, the projection of k^a onto u^a must be minus the projection of k^a onto the (x, y, z) hyperplane, because k^a is a null vector (i.e., it is inclined by 45 degrees with respect to the hyperplane (x, y, z) and the t axis; we are in natural units). In formula,

$$k_a u^a = \operatorname{proj}_{u^a}(k^a) = -\operatorname{proj}_{(x,y,z)}(k^a), \tag{8}$$

where the minus sign follows from the fact that we are in Minkowski space, not Euclidean. On the other hand, we know that $k^a \parallel (\partial_x)^a = \xi^a$, so the

¹Note that the index \mathbf{a} is boldface, following the Penrose's convention. Boldface indices *can* assume numeric values, i.e. they are *not* abstract indices.

projection onto (x, y, z) is,

$$\operatorname{proj}_{(x,y,z)}(k^{a}) = -\frac{k_{a}\xi^{a}}{(\xi^{a}\xi_{a})^{1/2}},$$
(9)

where the normalization of the Killing vector field follows from the definition of projection itself.

Now we have an expression for the frequency of the wave,

$$\omega = -k_a u^a = \frac{k_a \xi^a}{(\xi^a \xi_a)^{1/2}}.$$
 (10)

All we need to do is to compute the norm of the Killing vector field ξ^a ,

$$(\xi^a \xi_a)^{1/2} = (\eta_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b)^{1/2} = \sqrt{F(t-z)^2} = 1 + (t-z)\Theta(t-z).$$
(11)

At this point, we remember that $k_a \xi^a$ is a conserved quantity, so it is the same at the event of emission P_1 and the event of detection P_2 . Then, from (10) we find,

$$\left[\omega(\xi^{a}\xi_{a})^{1/2}\right]\Big|_{P_{1}} = \left[\omega(\xi^{a}\xi_{a})^{1/2}\right]\Big|_{P_{2}},$$
(12)

which implies,

$$\frac{\omega_{\text{det}}}{\omega_{\text{em}}} = \frac{\left[(\xi^a \xi_a)^{1/2} \right] \Big|_{P_1}}{\left[(\xi^a \xi_a)^{1/2} \right] \Big|_{P_2}} = \frac{1 + (t_1 - z_1)\Theta(t_1 - z_1)}{1 + (t_2 - z_2)\Theta(t_2 - z_2)}.$$
 (13)

Then, the redshift factor is easily computed,

$$z \coloneqq \frac{\lambda_{\text{det}} - \lambda_{\text{em}}}{\lambda_{\text{em}}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{em}}}{\omega_{\text{det}}} - 1 = \frac{1 + (t_2 - z_2)\Theta(t_2 - z_2)}{1 + (t_1 - z_1)\Theta(t_1 - z_1)} - 1.$$
(14)

If we define $u \coloneqq t - z$, then we can write,

$$z = \frac{\omega_{\rm em}}{\omega_{\rm det}} - 1 = \frac{1 + u_{\rm det}\Theta(u_{\rm det})}{1 + u_{\rm em}\Theta(u_{\rm em})} - 1.$$
(15)

For the wave in the y direction, all we have to do is to use G(t-z) instead of F(t-z) (the Killing vector field would be ∂_y , and the norm would be different), and find,

$$z = \frac{\omega_{\rm em}}{\omega_{\rm det}} - 1 = \frac{1 - u_{\rm det}\Theta(u_{\rm det})}{1 - u_{\rm em}\Theta(u_{\rm em})} - 1.$$
(16)

References

¹R. Wald, *General relativity* (University of Chicago Press, 2010).